Case: 1:07-cv-04200 Document #: 1 Filed: 07/25/07 Page 1 of 10 PageID #:1

KCFILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JUL 2 5 2007 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS OLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUNTY

DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC., a: Florida Non Profit Corporation, and MICHAEL: MILES, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

THR CHICAGO LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.

07CV4200 JUDGE GOTTSCHALL MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHENKIER

COMPLAINT

(Injunctive Relief Demanded)

Plaintiffs, DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC., a Florida Non Profit Corporation, and MICHAEL MILES, Individually, on their behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, (sometimes referred to as "Plaintiff" or "Plaintiffs"), hereby sue the Defendant, THR CHICAGO LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, (sometimes referred to as "Defendant"), for Injunctive Relief, and attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. ("ADA").

- 1. Plaintiff, Michael Miles, is an individual residing in Findlay, OH 45840, in the County of Hancock.
- Plaintiff, DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC., is a non profit corporation formed under the laws of the State of Florida. DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC. maintains its principal office at 702 North E Street, Lake Worth, FL 33460, in the County of Palm Beach.
- Defendant's property, The Westin Chicago River North, is located at 320 N. Dearborn St.,
 Chicago, IL 60610, in the County of Cook.

- 4. Venue is properly located in the Northern District of Illinois because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendant's property is located in and does business within this judicial district.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
- 6. Plaintiff Michael Miles is a Ohio resident, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Michael Miles has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property. The Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property. The barriers to access at the property have endangered his safety. The Plaintiff is also a member of the Plaintiff organization, DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC., discussed below in paragraph 7.
- Plaintiff DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC., is a nonprofit Florida corporation.

 Members of this organization include individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA, and are representative of a cross-section of the disabilities to be protected from discrimination by the ADA. The purpose of this organization is to represent the interest of its members by assuring places of public accommodation are accessible to and usable by the disabled and that its members are not discriminated against because of their disabilities.

 DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC. and its members have suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of the Defendant's discrimination until

the Defendant is compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. One or more of its members has suffered an injury that would allow it to bring suit in its own right.

DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC. has also been discriminated against because of its association with its disabled members and their claims.

- 8. Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. Defendant is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that the Defendant owns, operates, leases or leases to is known as The Westin Chicago River North, and is located at 320 N. Dearborn St., Chicago, IL 60610.
- 9. DISABLED PATRIOTS OF AMERICA, INC. and Michael Miles have a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 11 of this complaint. Plaintiffs have reasonable grounds to believe that they will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendant. Michael Miles desires to visit The Westin Chicago River North not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property but to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- The Defendant has discriminated against the individual Plaintiff and members of the corporate Plaintiff organization by denying them access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the buildings, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.

11. The Defendant has discriminated, and is continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, inter alia, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of The Westin Chicago River North has shown that violations exist. These violations include, but are not limited to:

Parking

- A. The required number of designated accessible parking spaces and/or elements are not provided in violation of Sections 4.1.2 and 4.6.4 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- B. There is a passenger unloading area provided for public use without designating an area for disabled use in violation of Section 4.6.6 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

Entrance Access and Path of Travel

- A. There are no accessible routes from the street, sidewalk and parking areas. There are violations of the requirements in Sections 4.3.2, 4.5.2, 4.7.1 and 4.8.2 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- B. There are elevators provided at the facility that do not comply with the standards prescribed in Section 4.10 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- C. There is not a continuous path of travel connecting all essential elements of the facility, in violation of Sections 4.3.1, 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

Access to Goods and Services

- A. There are counters throughout the facility in excess of 36", in violation of Section 7.2(1) of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- B. There is fixed or built in seating provided at the facility that does not comply with the standards prescribed in Section 4.32 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

- C. The water fountain(s) in the facility do not meet the prescribed height and/or knee clearance requirements for use by disabled patrons in violation of Section 4.15 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- D. There are protruding objects present throughout the facility, in violation of Section 4.4 of ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- E. Several public telephones throughout the facility do not provide the prescribed volume control device and/or clear floor space for disabled patrons, in violation of Sections 4.31.1 and 4.31.2 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

Restrooms

- A. The clear floor space provided in the restroom violates the provisions of Sections 4.2.1, 4.18.3 and 4.22 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- B. The grab-bars in the toilet room stalls do not comply with the requirements prescribed in Sections 4.17.6 and 4.26 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- C. The toilet stalls provided for public use at the facility are in violation of Section 4.17 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- D. The water closets that are provided for public use at the facility violate the provisions of Section 4.16 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- E. The grab-bars in the restrooms do not comply with the requirements prescribed in Sections 4.16.4 and 4.26 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- F. There are dispensers provided for pubic use in the restroom, with controls outside the ranges prescribed in Section 4.27 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- G. There are urinals provided for public use that do not comply with the standards set forth in Section 4.18 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- H. There are exposed pipes in restrooms at the facility, violating of Section 4.19.4 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- I. The mirrors provided for public use in the restroom are in violation of the requirements in Section 4.19 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

J. There restroom does not provide the required amenities for public use violating the provisions of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

Accessible Guest Rooms

- A. There are barriers to access in the guestrooms designated for disabled use in violation of several Sections of the ADAAG, whose resolution is readily achievable.
- B. There is no clear path of travel provided between all elements in the disabled room. This is in violation of Sections 4.3 and 9 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- C. The furniture does not provide the required knee clearance violating Sections 4.32.3 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- D. The furniture and fixtures in the disabled use room have controls that cannot be operated with a closed fist in violation of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- E. The rooms designated for disabled use provide elements with controls and/or dispensers outside of the required reach ranges violating Sections 4.2 and 9 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- F. The rooms designated for disabled use do not provide elements equipped for use by the hearing and/or visually impaired violating Section 9 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- G. There are rooms for public lodging at the facility without the required disabled use elements, in violation of several Sections of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- H. The water closets located in the Guest restroom violate the provisions of Section 4.16 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- I. The grab-bars in the restroom provided in the room designated as accessible do not comply with the requirements prescribed in Sections 4.16.4 and 4.26 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- J. The rooms designated for disabled use do not provide a compliant roll-in shower for use by the disabled, violating Section 9 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.

- K. The bathtub does not provide the required elements for disabled use as required in Sections 4.20.3, 4.20.4, 4.20.5 and 4.20.6 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- L. The sinks in the restroom provided in the room designated for disabled use do not meet the requirements prescribed in Sections 4.24 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- M. The mirrors and dispensers provided in the restroom provided in the room designated as accessible are in violation of the requirements in Section 4.19 and 4.27 of the ADAAG whose resolution is readily achievable.
- 12. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 11 are not an exclusive list of the Defendant's ADA violations. Plaintiffs require the inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. The individual Plaintiff, the members of the Plaintiff group, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendant's buildings and its facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendant because of the Defendant's ADA violations, as set forth above. The individual Plaintiff, the members of the Plaintiff group and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

- 13. Defendant has discriminated against the individual and corporate Plaintiffs by denying them access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, the Defendant continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- Plaintiffs are without adequate remedy at law and are suffering irreparable harm.

 Plaintiffs have retained the undersigned counsel and are entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that has existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the

Defendant's facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendant's facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

- 16. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of the Defendant's failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiffs or waived by the Defendant.
- 17. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiffs
 Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendant to alter The Westin
 Chicago River North to make those facilities readily accessible and useable to the
 Plaintiffs and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the
 facility until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendant including an order to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and to require the Defendant to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods,

services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such stops that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.

- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
 § 12205.
- d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under
 Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

John P. Fuller, Esquire FULLER, FULLER & ASSOCIATES, P.A. Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs 12000 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 609 North Miami, FL 33181

(305) 891-5199

(305) 893-9505 - Façsimile

John P. Fuller, Esquire

IL **B**ar No₁ 0276847

Date: 150

#3877- THR Chicago LLC Complaint Pkg (slm 6-28-07) wpd

Respectfully Submitted,

Jerome G. Silbert, Esquire

JEROME G. SILBERT ATTORNEY AT LAW

Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs

10 S Riverside Plaza, Suite 1020

Chicago, IL 60606

(312) 207-0181

(312) **207**-1332 - Facsimile

By: Jerome G. Silbert, Esquire

L Bar No. 13600

Date: 7/17(0